Evaluation of efficiency of neem seed oil against *Dermestes maculatus* and *Necrobia Rufipes* in stored smoked fish; *Clarias gariepinus*

Bukar A¹., Mustapha A.U²., Jinjiri B. A²., Idriss M.M², and Nguru M. I².

¹(Department of Agricultural Technology, Mohamet Lawan College of Agriculture, P. M. B. 1427, Maiduguri, Borno State.)

²(Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Mai Idris Alooma Polytechnic, P.M.B 1020, Geidam, Yobe State, Nigeria.)

Abstract: Laboratory experiment was conducted at Mohamet Lawan College of Agriculture, Maiduguri, Nigeria to evaluate the efficacy of neem seed oil in protecting dried Clarias fish; Clarias gariepinus against infestation by Dermestes maculatus and Necrobia rufipes. The experiment was laid out in a complete randomized design (CRD) with five treatments and untreated control replicated four times. In each block the dried fish C. gariepinus was assigned to the main plots while the botanical; neem seed oil (NSO) was assigned to the sub-plots. Neem seed oil was applied at various concentrations of 0ml (untreated control), 0.5 ml, 0. 7ml, 1.0 ml, 1.2 ml and 1.5 ml. The mortality of adult D. maculatus and adult N. rufipes at 7, 14 and 21 days after treatment were recorded and compared with the untreated control. All concentrations of neem seed oil used recorded higher mortality than the untreated control. However, there was significant difference between the mortality of adult D. maculatus and N. rufipes among the NSO at different concentrations and the control. Higher mortality rates of both study pests were recorded after applying higher doses of NSO at 21days post treatment. NSO concentration at 1.5ml caused significantly the highest mortality of adult D. maculatus and N. rufipes respectively and it is therefore recommended as a protectant on stored, dried fish; C. gariepinus.

Keywords: Dermestes maculatus, Necrobia rufipes, Claria gariepinus, NSO.

Introduction

Fish protein is one of the best and cheapest sources of animal protein (Banie et al., 2003; Adewolu and Adeota, 2010). Cured fish with low moisture content provides food for beetles, particularly the larvae and to a lesser extent, the adults of Dermestes maculatus and Necrobia rufipes. The damage caused by insect infestation is an important cause of economic and physical loss of dried fish in tropical countries (Amusan and Okerie, 2002). Losses by D. maculatus and N. rufipes occur in two ways: by the actual feeding activity of larvae and adults and by crossinfestation resulting in lowering of the value of other commodities in the store (Anonymous, 2005). About 40% flesh of the dried fish is lost annually during storage (Aderohu and Akpabio, 2009; Adedire and Hajide, 2000). A considerable amount of dried fish is lost in Nigeria due to infestation by hide and copra beetles in storage conditions (Aderolu and Akpabio, 2009). D. maculatus and N. rufipes are cosmopolitan insect pests causing considerable damage to stored commodities such as copra (dried coconut), cheese, dried fish, ham and other products rich in protein contents (Akunne, 2006). Damage by the feeding of the larvae is a serious problem in the storage of dry fishes for off-season consumption and export purpose (Al-jufiah and Opera, 2006). Their presence on high value commodities such as dried fish, copra, ham or processed meat, etc. can lead to produce reduction and serious losses (Azam et al., 2004; Amusan and Okerie, 2002). Insect infestation with dry fishes caused an increase in the anti- nutritional factors such as phytic acid, trypsin inhibitor activity and crude fibre as well as a decrease in starch and protein contents of stored produce (Ayuba and Omeji, 2006). The need to protect smoked fish from insect pests infestation is suggested because dry fish plays a prominent role in the diets of human being. Besides, dry fish commodities are the

cheapest and most accessible sources of animal proteins (Akinwumi et al., 2007). Although many synthetic chemicals are effective against the pests of many stored products, the general use of such chemicals to protect stored fish has been hampered due to health hazards, higher costs and development of resistance by dermestid larvae (Amusan and Okorie, 2002; Odeyemi et al., 2000). Based on these problems, botanical insecticides are the alternative to synthetic chemical pesticides since the botanical compounds are biodegradable and less persistent in the environment (Wanyika et al., 2009; Michaelraj and Sharma, 2006). Plants are the rich source of insecticidal compounds and the effectiveness of these compounds has been demonstrated against many stored product insects (Sabbour and Shadia E-Abd-El-Aziz, 2007). Piper guineense spice powder has been reported to be effective in preventing oviposition in Callosobruchus maculatus and D. maculatus (Fasakin and Aberejo, 2002), and reducing the longevity of the insect. Similarly, (Rajashekar et al., 2010) noted that both the powder and extract of P. guineense and D. tripetela inhibited adult emergence of C. maculatus and Sitophilus zeamais completely. Azadirachta indica, is possess potential insecticidal known to compounds. Various neem products, oils, cakes, extracts, powder e.t.c. prepared from leaves and seeds are used as protectants against pests in storage. Since there is a pressing need to preserve fish using safer means better than the toxic and unsafe chemical means, this research focusses mainly on the efficacy of NSO on the adult D. maculatus and adult N. rufipes infesting dried fish; C. gariepinus.

Materials and Methods Experimental location

The experiment was carried out at Entomology Laboratory of Mohamet Lawan College of Agriculture Maiduguri, Nigeria. Located at latitude 11°51N and longitude 13°15E. All experiments were carried out under ambient environmental condition.

Experimental design

The experiment was laid in complete randomized design (CRD) with five treatments and control replicated four times. In each block the dried fish C. gariepinus was assigned to the main plots while the botanical neem seed oil (NSO) at the concentrations of 0.5ml, 0.7ml, 1.0ml, 1.2ml, 1.5 ml and 0.0 ml (untreated control), were assigned to the sub-plots.

Source of materials

The initial source of culture was obtained from infested smoked *C. gariepinus* collected from a dried fish market in Baga fish market, Maiduguri, Nigeria. Samples of African mud-fish *C. gariepinus* were obtained from tashan baga; a reputable dried fish market in Maiduguri metropolis while The neem seed oil was obtained from previously processed neem seed kernel from the faculty of Agriculture, University of Maiduguri. Borno State, Nigeria.

Methodology

The source of culture (smoked fish infested by *D. maculatus* and *N. rufipes*) of the study pests obtained were maintained separately in Kilner jars covered with muslin cloth under laboratory conditions and kept at a temperature of $34\pm2^{\circ}$ C and a relative humidity of $70\pm5\%$. All bioassay jars were disinfected before commencement of the experiments. New generations were prepared by removing adults of the insect species from a stock culture, placing them on fresh uninfected fish, there after the

parent adults were removed after three (3) weeks of oviposition period. Samples of African mudfish C. gariepinus were obtained from a reputable dried fish market in Maiduguri metropolis. Smoked fish with broken or damaged parts were not considered in the experiment. Sound, fresh smoked fish were then sterilized by sunning for one week to kill insects before commencement of the experiment. The neem seed oil was obtained from previously processed neem seed kernel which is maintained in the laboratory under good management condition before the commencement of the experiment. Insect species were introduced into each respective bottles and covered with muslin cloth to provide movement of air in and out from the treatment bottles. The Neem seed oil were applied at concentrations of 0.0 ml (untreated control), 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.2 and 1.5 ml, was thoroughly sprayed onto the body of 80g of dry smoked fish and placed into a respective bottles measuring 500ml capacity. All treatments were arranged in laboratory shelf under ambient condition. Mortality counts of D. maculatus and N. rufipes adult were done at 7, 14 and 21 days post treatment and data were recorded. Dead weevils were removed and discarded after every count.

Data analysis

Data obtained on the mortality counts of the weevils caused by the neem seed oil were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Tukey-kramer's HSD Test at p>0.05 significant levels of probability.

Results and discussion

Table 1 shows that at 7 days after treatment application, the highest dose of NSO at 1.5ml caused significantly higher mean mortality of adult *N. rufipes* and *D. maculatus* (71.6^a and 73.3^{a)} when compared with treatment with NSO

at the lowest concentration of 0.5ml and untreated control which were 0.0^{b} and 0.7^{b} respectively.

Table 2 shows the effect of different concentrations of NSO on the mortality of N. rufipes and D. maculatus adults, 14 days after treatment. The results obtained also revealed that adult mortality is dose dependent, increasing with increase in concentration of the NSO. Significantly higher mean mortality of the two adult insects were recorded after treatment with NSO at 1.50ml which were 93.3^a and 100^a respectively, while significantly lower mean mortality of the adult insects was recorded at the lowest dose of NSO and untreated control which were 1.0^b and 0.3^b respectively. The result further revealed that D. maculatus is more susceptible NSO than N. rufipes because treatment by NSO at the rate of 1.2ml caused 100% mortality of D. maculatus while at same rate of NSO (1.2 ml), 86% mean mortality was recorded for N. rufipes.

Results on the effect of different concentrations of NSO after 21 days of exposure period was presented in table 3. The data obtained showed that increasing the concentration of NSO and duration of storage significantly increased the mortality of the adult weevils. Significantly higher mean mortality was recorded for N. rufipes after treatment with NSO at 1.0 ml, 1.2 ml and 1.5 ml which were 93.3^a, 96.7^a and 100^a respectively. Similarly, significantly higher mean mortality of adult D. maculatus was recorded after exposure for 21 days and treatment with 1.0 ml, 1.2 ml and 1.5 ml which was 100^a % for all the three doses. The results obtained from the present study showed that the efficacy of the NSO against the two adult weevils is dose dependent, in which the mortality of both study insects increase with increase in concentration of the botanical, NSO. Treatment by NSO caused significantly higher mortality of *D. maculatus* and *N. rufipes* (adult) than the control. However, even at the lowest concentration and at 21 days post treatment was capable of evoking more than 60% mortality in the adult of both species.

The results obtained in this study is in agreement with the work of (Okorie et al., 1990, Egwunyenga et al., 1998; Okonkwo and Okoye, 2001) who reported that 93% of D. maculatus larvae were killed and total mortality of all adults was recorded when treatment was done with 2g of neem seed powder per 25g Tilapia species. Similarly, Fasakin and Aberejo, (2002) reported that pulverized plant materials from *P. guineense* inhibited egg hatchability and adult emergence of D. maculatus Degeer in smoked catfish (Clarias gariepinus) during storage. Baba et. al., (2014) also reported that after the application of neem kernel extract to control D. maculatus the emergence of F1 generation (Adult) from the late instar larvae was highly suppressed by the effect of NKO and NKP at 1ml and 5g respectively per 35g dried fish than NLP (5g/35g dried fish) after 8weeks PTA. Mufutau (2012) reported that after the application of neem seed oil to protect dried fish against infestation by D. maculatus, the fish were protected for 6 months against D. maculatus and the efficacy of the neem seed oil as a treatments was found to be dosage dependent, the LD50 was 0.125 while 0.275ml significantly halted hatchability and development of the pest. He recorded 100% adult mortality at high doses within the first 10 days.

This work supports other researches on the use of plant materials as bioinsecticides in protecting stored products. Essential Plant oils produced by different plant genera have been reported to be biologically active and are endowed with insecticidal, antimicrobial and bio regulatory properties (Ahmed *et al.*, 2009; Kumar *et al.*, 2007; 2008; Swella and Mushobozy, 2007). The advantage of insecticides of plants origin is that they are easy to apply (Parugrug and Roxas,

2008). Plant materials have been used successfully in suppressing the population of storage pests (Rajapakse, 2006; Parurug and Roxas, 2008; Asawalam and Emosairue, 2006; Akunne et al., 2014). Similarly, neem seed oil and powder has been reported to be effective in preventing oviposition on C. maculatus and D. maculatus (Rupp, 2006). Arong et al., (2011) also reported that neem seed oil has shown to possess phytochemicals that confer on it significant insect repellent and insecticidal value (Watt, 2010). The results obtained from this study imply that for better results, higher concentrations of neem seed oil should be used against adult of D. maculatus and N. rufipes. Time of exposure of D. maculatus and *N. rufipes* to plant oils of neem is a factor to be considered in the control of *D. maculatus* and *N. rufipes*. The highest concentration (1.5ml) used caused more adult mortality. Neem seed oil are safer as control measures and should be used in preserving dry fish. However, the dosage of 1.5ml oil concentration per 80g of dried fish is recommended in the control of adult of both *D. maculatus* and *N. rufipes*. Neem seed oil should be renewed in wider scope for effective control of stored product insect pests. Protein supply is lower than its demand, therefore to boost animal protein (especially fish) proper control measures should be taken against insect pests' infestation at the larval and adult stage.

Table 1: Mean (%± SE) of adult mortality of *Necrobia rufipes* and *Dermestes maculatus* after 7 days exposure to NSO to protect *C. gariepinus*

| Treatment (ml) | N. rufipes | D. maculatus | |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 0.0 | $0.0{\pm}0.0^{b}$ | 0.7±0.3 ^b | |
| 0.5 | 35.7±6.7 ^{ab} | $46.0{\pm}11.5^{a}$ | |
| 0.7 | 46.7 ± 6.7^{a} | 53.3±11.5ª | |
| 1.0 | 53.3±13.3ª | 60.6±6.11ª | |
| 1.2 | 60.0 ± 11.5^{a} | 66.7 ± 6.7^{a} | |
| 1.5 | 71.6 ± 6.7^{a} | 73.3±6.7ª | |

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other at p>0.05

Table 2: Mean (%± SE) of adult mortality of *Necrobia rufipes* and *Dermestes maculates* after 14 days exposure to NSO to protect *C. gariepinus*

| Treatment | N. rufipes | D. maculatus |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 0.0 | 1.0±0.6 ^b | 0.3±0.3 ^b |
| 0.5 | 46.7±0.6 ^b | 60.0 ± 11.5^{ab} |

| 0.7 | 63.3±13.7 ^{ab} | 66.7±6.7 ^{ab} |
|-----|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 1.0 | 73.3±6.7 ^{ab} | 93.3±6.7ª |
| 1.2 | 86.3±3.7ª | 100.0±0.0ª |
| 1.5 | 93.3±6.7ª | 100.0±0.0ª |

Means followed by same letter are significantly different from each other p>0.05

Table 3: Mean (%± SE) of adult mortality of *N. rufipes* and *D. maculatus* after 21 days exposure to NSO to protect to protect *C. gariepinus*.

| Treatment | N. rufipes | D. maculatus | |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 0.0 | 2.0±0.0° | 1.0±0.6 ^b | |
| 0.5 | 73.3±17.6 ^b | 86.7 ± 6.79^{a} | |
| 0.7 | 86.7±13.3 ^b | 96.7 ± 6.79^{a} | |
| 1.0 | 93.3±6.7ª | 100.0 ± 0.0^{a} | |
| 1.2 | 96.7±6.7ª | $100.0{\pm}0.0^{a}$ | |
| 1.5 | 100.0 ± 0.0^{a} | 100.0 ± 0.0^{a} | |

Mean followed by same letter are not significantly different from each other at P>0.05

Conclusion

In view of the high mortality rates caused by NSO on both the adults of *D. maculatus* and *N. rufipes*, it can be concluded from this work that NSO is as effective as synthetic insecticides in suppressing these beetle's population and providing maximum dry fish protection. It is therefore recommended for fish farmers and dry fish sellers to adopt and practice the use of neem seed oil in protecting stored dried Clarias and other dry fishes against infestation by the beetles; *D. maculatus* and *N. rufipes* since NSO has shown to be effective, cheap and safe for human consumption. Moreover, the use of synthetic insecticides has several disadvantages such as high cost of procurement, development of resistance by pests, introduction of toxic residues into the food of man and other mammals.

References

- Adedire, C. O. and Lajide, L. (2000). Effect of pulverized plant materials on fish damage and growth performance of the fish beetles *Dermestes maculatus* (Degeer). *Entomological Society* of Nigeria Occasional Publication. 32: 215-221.
- Aderohu, B. and Akpabic, F. (2009). Evaluation of neem products (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) (*Dermestes maculatus* Deeger) (Coleoptera: Dermastidae) on

dried fish. Nigeria Journal Entomology; 20: 105-115

- Aderolu, A. Z. and Akpabio, V. M. (2009). Growth and economic performance of *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles fed diets containing velvet bean, *Mucuna pruriens*, seed meal. *African Journal of Aquatic Science*. 34: 131-135(5).
- Adewolu, B. and Adeota, L. (2010). Insecticidal activity of *Dennettia tripetala* Baker F. and *pepper guinensis* Schum. against (*Dermestes maculatus*) degeer (coleoptera: dermestidae) and *Necrobia rufipes* (coleoptera: claridae) on dried fish. *Nigeria Journal Entomology*; 18:109-117
- Ahmed, S., Zainab, A., Nisar, S., Rana, N. (2009)
 Effect of new formulations of neem products on biology of *Tribolium castaneum* (herbst) (Tenebrionidae: Coleoptera). *Pakistan Entomology* 31: 133-137.
- Akinwumi, A., Ivbijaro, R., and Mehta, U. (2007). Toxicity of neem (Azadirachta indica A Juss). To Sitophilus oryzae of stored maize. Protection Ecology; 5: 335-357
- Akunne, C. E. and Okonkwo, N. J. (2006) Pesticide: Their Abuse and Misuse in our Environment. Book of proceedings of the 3rd Annual National Conference of the Society for Occupational Safety and Environmental Health (SOSEH) Awka 130-132.
- Akunne, C.E., Afonta, C.N., Mogbo, T.C., Ononye, B.U., Ngenegbo, U.C. (2014) Evaluation of the Efficacy of Mixed Powders of *Piper guineense* and *Zingiber* officinale against Callosobruchus

maculatus (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *American Journal of Biology and Life Sciences*; 2(2):63-67.

- Al-jufiah, A. and Opera, M. (2006). Fish processing technology in the tropical national institute for fresh water fisheries research, New Bussa, Nigeria, ISSBN-13:9781770457, pp 403
- Amusan, O. and Okerie, A. B, (2002) Different effect of some botanicals on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *International Journal pest management*.46:109-11
- Anonymous, R. (2005). Quality changes of Nigerian traditionally processed fresh water fish species. Nutritive and organoleptic changes. *Journal of food Technology*, 19: 333-340
- Arong, G. A., Oku, E.E., Obhiokhenan, A. A., Adetunji, B. A., Mowang, D. A. (2011). Protectant Ability *Xylopia* of aethiopica and Piper guineense Leaves against the Cowpea Bruchid Callosobruchus maculatus (Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). World Journal of Science and Technology; 1(7):14-19.
- Asawalam, E. F. and Emosairue, S.O. (2006) Comparative Efficacy of *Piper guineense* Schum and Thonn and Pirimiphos Methyl on (*Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky)). Tropical and Subtropical *Agro-ecosystem* 2006; 6:143-148.
- Ayuba, S. and Omeji, B. (2006) evaluation of insecticide dips as protectants of stored dried fish from Dermestid beetle

infestation. Journal stored product Research; 23: 47-56

- Azam, K., Ali, M. Y., Asaduzzaman, M., Basher,
 M. Z. and Hossain, M.M. (2004)
 Biochemical assessment of selected
 fresh fish. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 4: 9-10
- Baba G.O., Erhabor T.A., Sulaiman Y.D.,
 Ayanrinde F.A., Majolagbe M.O.,
 Fadoyin A.S., & Musa Kabiru (2014). Biopesticidal effect of neem plant products (*azadirachta indica a. Juss*) on the mortality of late instar larvae and emergence of f1 generation of dermestid beetle (*dermestes maculatus degeer*) on dried fish (*clarias* sp). *G. J. B. A. H. S.*, Vol.3 (1): 314-321
- Banie, I. J and Clacas, A. M. (2003). Fish handling preservation and processing in the tropics: part ii report of the tropical development and research institute, 145, pp: 144
- Egwunyenga, O. A., Alo, E. A. and Nmorsi, O. P. G. (1998). Laboratory evaluation of the repellency of *Dennettia tripetela* Baker (Anonaceae) to *Dermestes maculatus* (F) (Coleoptera: Dermestidae). *Journal of Stored Product Research.* 34: 195-199.
- Fasakin, E. A., Aberejo, B. A. (2002) Effects of some pulverized plant material on the developmental stages of fish beetle *Dermestes maculatus* Degeer in smoked catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) during storage. *Bioscience Technology* 2002; 85:173-177.
- Kumar, R., Kumar, A., Prasad, C.S., Dubey, N. K and Samant, R. (2008) Insecticidal activity *Aegle marmelos* (L.) Correa

essential oil against four stored grain insect pests. *International Journal of Food Safety* 10: 39-49.

- Kumar, S., Bhadauria, M., Chauhan, A.K.S., Chandel, B.S. (2007) Use of certain naturally occurring herbal grain protectants against *Sitophilus oryzae* Linn. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Asian Journal of Experimental Science* 21: 257-263
- Michaelraj, S and Sharma, R. K. (2006) Efficacy of vegetable oils as grain protectant against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.)
 - in stored maize. *Annals Plant Protection Science* 14: 332-336.
- Mufutau, A. A. (2012). Evaluation of the efficacy of Neem Seed Oil (NSO) extract for the control of *Dermestes* maculatus Degeer, 1774 (Coleoptera: Dermestidae) in *Clarias* gariepinus (Burchell, 1822) (Pisces: Claridae). Munis Entomology & Zoology, 7 (2): 1188-1194
- Okonkwo, E. O and Okoye, W. I. (2001). Insecticidal activity of *Dennettia* tripetala Baker F. and Piper guineense Schum and Thonn against *Dermestes* maculatus Degeer (Coleoptera: Dermestidae) and Necrobia rufipes Degeer (Coleoptera: Cleridae) on dried fish. Nigeria Journal of Entomology. 18: 109-117.
- Okorie, T. O., Siyanbola, O. O. and Ebochuo, V.
 O. (1990). Neem used powder as protectant for
 Dried Tilapia against *Dermestes maculatus* Degeer infestation. Insect
 Science and

11(2): 153-157.

- Osuji F.N.C. (1974). Beetle infestation of dried fish purchased from a Nigerian market, with special reference to *Dermestes maculatus* Degeer, *Nigeria Journal of Entomology*. 1(1): 69-79.
- Parugrug, M. L., Roxas, A.C. (2008) Insecticidal Action of Five Plants against Maize Weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. Coleoptera: Curculionidae. KMITL *Science and Technology Journal*; 8(1):21-38.
- Rajapakse, R. H. S. (2006). The Potential of Plants and Plant Products in Stored Insect Pest Management. *Journal* of Agricultural Science; 2(1):11-21.
- Rajashekar, Y., Gunasekaran, N., Shivanandappa, T. (2010) Insecticidal activity of the root extract of Decalepis hamiltonii against stored-product insect pests and its application in grain protection. Journal of Food Science and Technology 47: 310–314.
- Rupp, M.M.M., Da Cruz, S.M.E., Schwan-Estrada, K.R.F, Souza Junior, S.P, Collella, J.C.T. (2006) Toxic effect of vegetable extracts on adults of *Sitophilus*

zeamais Mots. 1855 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). 9th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection.

- Sabbour, M.M., Shadia E-Abd-El-Aziz (2007) Efficiency of some bioinsecticides against broad bean beetle, *Bruchus rufimanus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Research Journal of Agricultural Biology Science* 3: 67-72.
- Swella, G.B. and Mushobozy, D.M.K. (2007)
 Evaluation of the efficacy of protectants against cowpea bruchids (*Callosobruchus maculatus* F.) on cowpea seeds (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). Plant Protect Sci 43: 68–72.
- Wanyika, H. N., Kareru, P. G., Keriko, J. M., Gachanja, A. N., Kenji, G. M. (2009) Contact toxicity of some fixed plant oils and stabilized natural pyrethrum extracts against adult maize weevils (*Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky). *African Journal of Pharmacology* 3: 66-69.
- Watt, M. (2010) Essential oil safety: The known and the unknown.