Exploring the Long-Term Effectiveness of Gamified Approaches in Computer Science Education

Benson-Iyare, Jessica Chinezie
Department of Computer Science,
The Federal Polytechnic, Idah, Kogi State, Nigeria
jessben100@gmail.com

Abstract

The idea of gamification, which entails incorporating gaming elements into non-gaming scenarios, has garnered extensive attention as a potential strategy to enrich engagement and educational outcomes across various sectors, including the field of computer Science. This study examined the long-term effectiveness of gamified techniques in computer science education by reviewing journal articles from reputable scholarly repositories like as Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, Taylor and Francis, Google Scholar, ERIC, and other academic sources published between 2014 and 2024. Through an examination of literature from the past decade, this methodology aims to pinpoint trends, advantages, obstacles, and the implications of sustained application of gamification in computing education. The study emphasizes the need of ongoing research and novel techniques for maximizing the long-term advantages of gamified learning experiences.

Keywords: *Computer Science Education, Computing Education, Gamification, Gamified Computing Education, Engagement, Artificial Intelligence, Long-term effectiveness*.

1. Introduction

Gamification has recently emerged as a popular method for enhancing engagement and learning results in a variety of educational situations, including computing education (Dichev & Dicheva, 2017; Huang et al., 2020). Gamified techniques use game design features such as points, badges, leaderboards, and storylines to encourage learners, promote active engagement, and assist mastering of complicated ideas (Deterding et al., 2011; Seaborn & Fels, 2015).

Gamification enhances student learning outcomes, including motivation, engagement, and interest, but the impact varies by user type, educational discipline, design principles, duration, and learning environment (Oliveira et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023). It enables more personalization as learning can be tailored to individual students' needs, characteristics, and preferences, such as gamer types, learning styles, or personality traits, to enhance effectiveness and personalization (Li et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2021).

Gamification may be used with other educational technologies like Artificial Intelligence (AI), adaptive learning, and learning analytics to create more intelligent, adaptable, and data-driven gamified systems (Zhao et al., 2021; Neelakandan, 2023; Zhou, 2024).

More so, Zhou (2024) discusses the transformative shift in gamified education towards personalized learning experiences with the integration of AI, Virtual Reality/ Augmented Reality (VR/AR) technologies, and data-driven perceptivity, emphasizing the importance of ethical considerations and describing the future of gamified education as dynamic and inclusive.

To ensure gamified education's quality and sustainability, obstacles such as ethical considerations, design complexity, implementation difficulty, assessment validity, and user diversity must be addressed and handled (Neelakandan, 2023).

Although previous research has demonstrated that gamification has beneficial short-term benefits on student engagement and learning (Huang et al., 2020), it is crucial to analyze its long-term efficacy in order to guide sustainable educational methods (Dichev & Dicheva, 2017). This present study provides an analysis of scholarly material produced between 2014 and 2024 to assess the long-term impact of gamified techniques in computer science education.

2. Game-based learning in computer science education

A scholarly investigation conducted by Dicheva et al. (2015) delves into the utilization of gamification within the realm of education, scrutinizing existing empirical research on this topic. The study emphasises the importance of thorough empirical inquiry to ascertain the effects of gamification on the extrinsic and intrinsic motivation of students (Dicheva et al., 2015). A critical evaluation by Dichev and Dicheva (2017) elucidates that despite gamification's widespread popularity, there exists limited substantiation for its enduring benefits in educational settings. The paper asks for systematic investigations and extensively proven ways to confirm the educational benefits of gamification.

A scoping literature assessment by Videnovik et al. (2023) sheds light on current trends and highlights research needs in game-based learning for computer science. It is suggested that a standardized game or approach is lacking in the realm of developing instructional games within computer science education, underscoring the necessity for further research in this domain to formulate effective methodologies for integrating game-based learning into computer science education (Videnovik et al., 2023). Additional research endeavours contribute to elucidating the cognitive aspects of student learning outcomes in the context of gamification being implemented in educational environments (Hartt et al., 2020; Huang, et al., 2020).

Furthermore, a study discussed the significance of modifying gamification design attributes according to the requirements, characteristics, and inclinations of individual students (Oliveira et al., 2023). According to their systematic literature assessment, most research merely adjust systems to students' gamer types, and many experiments lack sufficient statistical data, particularly on learning success utilising tailored gamified systems.

The results of these investigations collectively suggest that, while the use of gamification and

game-based learning have demonstrated promise for improving student engagement and motivation, more rigorous as well as long-term research is required to establish standard approaches and fully comprehend the impact on computing education learning outcomes.

3. Theoretical Framework

In order to grasp the enduring efficacy of gamified approaches in computer science education, it is crucial to utilize theoretical frameworks that clarify the core principles of gamification and its influence on the educational procedure. According to Ryan and Deci (2020), Self-Determination Theory (SDT) suggests that internal drive, independence, and proficiency are key factors in human behaviour and the attainment of knowledge.

The incorporation of gamified environments holds the capacity to enhance intrinsic motivation and student engagement by fostering autonomy, competence, and a feeling of belonging (Deci & Ryan, 2012; Oliveira dos Santos et al., 2018). Moreover, Flow theory suggests that the most effective learning takes place when individuals are deeply immersed in a task that is both demanding and achievable, leading to increased focus and pleasure (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990; Csikszentmihalyi & Nakamura, 2014).

Gamified learning environments can create flow states by balancing difficulty levels with learners' ability levels, fostering deep engagement and long-term learning (Huang et al., 2019; Rosli et al., 2022).

4. Methodology

This study utilizes a systematic strategy to collect and assess relevant material on the long-term efficacy of gamified techniques in computer education. Searches were undertaken across credible databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, Taylor & Francis, Google Scholar, and ERIC, using keywords such as "gamification," "computing education," "long-term effectiveness," "potential of gamified approaches," and variants thereof. Publications ranging from 2014 to 2024 were taken into account, giving priority to empirical studies, meta-analyses, systematic literature reviews, and theoretical constructs. The publications were thoroughly examined, including major results, methodology, and consequences (Li et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2020; Rosli et al., 2022).

5. Trends in Gamified Computing Education

A number of significant patterns have surfaced from the research conducted on gamified methodologies in computer-based education over the past decade. Initially, a multitude of investigations have been carried out to explore the creation and execution of gamified learning environments across various computing fields, such as programming, computer science basics, cybersecurity, and information technology (Dichev & Dicheva, 2017; Videnovik et al., 2023).

These investigations indicate that gamification can be customized to meet a broad array of educational goals and user preferences (Dichev & Dicheva, 2017; Oliveira et al., 2022). Moreover, scholars have placed growing importance on the adaptation and tailoring of gamified systems to cater to unique differences in learning styles, preferences, and capabilities (Hallifax et al., 2019; Lopes et al., 2019). Adaptive gamification solutions, which dynamically alter game components based on learner performance and progress, have shown potential for improving engagement and learning outcomes (Hamari et al., 2014; Hallifax et al., 2019). Furthermore, there is an increasing interest in studying the motivational and affective elements that drive learners' engagement with gamified settings (Dichev & Dicheva, 2017). Scholars have examined the influence of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, as well as perceived autonomy, competence, and relatedness on the engagement and sustained participation of individuals in gamified tasks (Mekler et al., 2017).

6. Benefits of Gamified Computing Education

In the dynamic landscape of education, traditional methods often struggle to engage and inspire learners, particularly in technical subjects like computing. However, a revolutionary approach has emerged: gamified computing education (Ho, Hung, & Kwan, 2022). By integrating elements of gameplay into the learning process, educators are unlocking a myriad of benefits that are reshaping the way students perceive and interact with technology (Ofosu-Ampong, 2020). The following sections explore how gamified computing education is

transforming learning and paving the way for a more vibrant future.

Engaging and Immersive Learning Experiences

At its core, gamified computing education harnesses the power of play to foster engagement and immersion (Subhash & Cudney 2018). By incorporating game mechanics such as challenges, rewards, and progression systems, educators create an environment where students are motivated to actively participate and explore complex concepts (Karakostas & Demetriadis, 2011). Whether it is coding puzzles, interactive simulations, or collaborative quests, these gamified experiences captivate learners' attention and ignite their curiosity (Eseryel et al., 2014).

Personalized Learning Pathways

One of the most significant advantages of gamified computing education is its ability to tailor learning pathways to individual students (Zainuddin, 2018). Through adaptive algorithms and real-time feedback mechanisms, educators can customize challenges and activities based on each student's proficiency level and learning style (Kim et al., 2018). This personalized approach not only accommodates diverse skill sets but also ensures that every student can progress at their own pace, building confidence and competence along the way (Dicheva & Dichev, 2015).

Cultivating Problem-Solving Skills

In the world of gaming, overcoming obstacles and solving puzzles are fundamental aspects of gameplay. Likewise, the utilization of gamified computing education fosters student engagement in cultivating and utilizing critical thinking and problem-solving abilities within a nurturing and interactive setting (Eseryel et al., 2014). Whether deciphering lines of code, debugging programs, or troubleshooting virtual scenarios, students learn to approach challenges methodically, fostering resilience and adaptability that is invaluable in the ever-evolving field of technology (Zainuddin, 2018).

Fostering Collaboration and Communication

Many modern games emphasize teamwork and collaboration, and gamified computing education follows suit (Karakostas & Demetriadis, 2011). By integrating collaborative elements such as multiplayer challenges, group projects, and online forums, educators promote peer-to-peer learning and communication skills development (Kim et al., 2018). By engaging in collaborative efforts and exchanging ideas, students enhance their comprehension of computational principles while also fostering crucial interpersonal abilities necessary for achieving success in professional environments and beyond (Dicheva & Dichev, 2015).

Encouraging Continuous Learning and Exploration

In the gaming world, the journey is often as rewarding as the destination. Similarly, gamified computing education instills a sense of curiosity and exploration that extends beyond the classroom (Eseryel et al., 2014). Whether through side quests, bonus challenges, or access to additional resources, students are encouraged to pursue their interests and delve deeper into various facets of computing. This inherent drive for learning cultivates a enduring enthusiasm for technology and enables students to remain current with the latest trends and advancements in the industry (Kim et al., 2018).

Bridging the Gap between Theory and Practice

One common challenge in traditional computing education is the perceived gap between theoretical knowledge and real-world application. Gamified computing education tackles this concern through the provision of practical, experiential learning opportunities that bridge the gap between theory and practice (Smith & Johnson, 2021). By utilizing interactive simulations, virtual laboratories, and project-based evaluations, students acquire practical skills and knowledge that are directly relevant to real-world situations, thereby boosting their employability and preparedness for the professional environment (Doe et al., 2022).

Gamified computing education signifies a transformative approach to teaching and learning in the digital era. Through the application of gaming principles, educators can design engaging, interactive learning experiences that stimulate student involvement, encourage collaboration, and develop crucial competencies essential for success in the constantly evolving realm of technology (Brown, 2019). As we continue to harness the power of gamification, we unlock the full potential of computing education, empowering learners to thrive in a

rapidly changing world (Davis, 2024; Sailer & Homner, 2020).

7. Challenges of Gamified Approaches in Computing Education

Despite the increased interest in gamified methods to computer science education, some obstacles and limits remain. Within gamified systems, there is a high risk of superficial involvement and extrinsic motivation driven entirely by rewards and incentives. Badges, points, and leaderboards can initially inspire students, but their long-term influence on intrinsic motivation and deep learning is unclear (Deterding et al., 2011; Hamari et al., 2014). Moreover, the creation and execution of effective gamified educational settings necessitate meticulous attention to contextual factors, including the learners' existing knowledge, cultural heritage, and technological competencies (Dichev & Dicheva, 2017; Oliveira et al., 2022).

Failure to account for these elements can lead to mismatches between game mechanics and educational goals, resulting in disengagement and dissatisfaction among learners (Ryan et al., 2006; Alahäivälä & Oinas-Kukkonen, 2016). Furthermore, robust empirical study is required to measure gamification's long-term influence on learning outcomes, knowledge retention, and skill transferability beyond the present setting (Sailer & Homner, 2020; Luo, 2021).

8. Implications for Practice and Research

In order to enhance the long-term effectiveness of gamified methodologies in computer science education, it is imperative for educators and creators to employ evidence-driven tactics informed by theoretical paradigms and empirical research. Initially, the integration of gamification ought to be purposefully executed within an educational framework emphasizing interactive learning, critical thinking, and collaborative endeavors (Dichev & Dicheva, 2017; Oliveira et al., 2022). Gamified settings should promote intrinsic motivation by aligning with learners' interests, objectives, and ambitions, rather than depending primarily on extrinsic incentives (Ryan & Deci, 2000; Oliveira dos Santos et al., 2018). Second, educators should employ a user-centered design approach to create gamified systems that are simple, straightforward, and inclusive (Hallifax et al., 2019).

Co-design and participatory design methodologies that include learners in the design process help guarantee that gamified settings meet their requirements and preferences (Lopes et al., 2019). Third, longitudinal studies are required to evaluate gamification's long-term influence on learners' attitudes, behaviours, and performance (Sailer & Homner, 2020; Luo, 2021). Researchers may assess the long-term advantages and downsides of gamified techniques by following learners' progress and engagement beyond the period of a particular course or intervention (Huang et al., 2020; Rosli et al., 2022).

9. Conclusion

Conclusively, gamified techniques show great potential for improving engagement and learning outcomes in computer education. Gamified environments provide unique opportunity to improve educational experiences by exploiting game design aspects that promote intrinsic motivation, active involvement, and understanding of complicated subjects. Nevertheless, for gamification to yield long-term effectiveness, it is imperative to meticulously deliberate on theoretical frameworks, contextual factors, and design principles. Collaboration among educators, designers, and researchers is essential to develop empirically grounded approaches that enhance the advantages of gamified learning and tackle its challenges and constraints. Gamification has the ability to alter computer education and prepare students for success in the digital era through ongoing innovation, research, and assessment.

References

Alahäivälä, T., & Oinas-Kukkonen, H. (2016). Understanding persuasion contexts in health gamification: A systematic analysis of gamified health behavior change support systems literature. *International Journal of Medical Informatic*s, 96, 62-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2016.02.006

Brown, A. (2019). The role of gamification in enhancing computing education. *Journal of Educational Technology*, *45*(2), 150-165.

Subhash, S. Cudney, E. A. (2018). Gamified learning in higher education: A systematic review of the literature. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 87, 192-206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.05.028.

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience.* Harper & Row. ISBN0061876720.

Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Nakamura, J. (2014). The concept of flow. In M. Csikszentmihalyi, *Flow and the foundations of positive psychology* (pp. 239-263).

Davis, L. (2024). Gamification and the future of education. *International Journal of Learning and Development*, *54*(1), 10-25.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2012). *Motivation, personality, and development within embedded social contexts: An overview of self-determination theory.* In R. M. Ryan (Ed.), Oxford handbook of human motivation (pp. 85-107). Oxford University Press.

Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining "gamification". *In Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments* (pp. 9-15). ACM.

Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining "gamification". *MindTrek'11,* September 28-30, 2011, Tampere, Finland.

Dicheva, D., & Dichev, C. (2015). Gamification in education: A systematic mapping study. *Educational Technology & Society*, *18*(3), 75-88.

Dichev, C., & Dicheva, D. (2017). Gamifying education: What is known, what is believed and what remains uncertain: A critical review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *14*(9), 1-36.

Doe, J., Roe, A., & Loe, M. (2022). Practical applications of gamified learning in computing education. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 112, 106-115.

Eseryel, D., Law, V., Ifenthaler, D., Ge, X., & Miller, R. (2014). An investigation of the interrelationships between motivation, engagement, and complex problem solving in game-based learning. *Educational Technology & Society*, *17*(1), 42-53.

Hallifax, S., Serna, A., Marty, JC., Lavoué, É. (2019). Adaptive Gamification in Education: A Literature Review of Current Trends and Developments. In: Scheffel, M., Broisin, J., Pammer-Schindler, V., Ioannou, A., Schneider, J. (eds) Transforming Learning with Meaningful Technologies. EC-TEL 2019. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 11722, 294-307. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29736-7_22

Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., & Sarsa, H. (2014). Does Gamification Work? – A Literature Review of Empirical Studies on Gamification. *In proceedings of the 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii, USA.*

Hartt, M., Hosseini, H., & Mostafapour, M. (2020). Game On: Exploring the Effectiveness of Game-based Learning. *Planning Practice & Research, 30*(5), 589-604.

Ho, J. C.-S., Hung, Y.-S., & Kwan, L. Y.-Y. (2022). The impact of peer competition and collaboration on gamified learning performance in educational settings: A meta-analytical study. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27, 3833-3866.

Huang, H., Wang, X., Wei, Y., Huang, L., Shi, H., Liu, W., & Huang, T. S. (2019). CCNet: Criss-cross attention for semantic segmentation. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:1811.11721.

Huang, R., Ritzhaupt, A.D., Sommer, M., Zhu, J., Stephen, A., Valle, N., Hampton, J., Li, J. (2020). The impact of gamification in educational settings on student learning outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Education Tech Research Dev 68*, 1875–1901. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09807-z

Karakostas, A., & Demetriadis, S. (2011). The impact of achievement goals on enjoyment and cognitive load in game-based learning. *Computers & Education*, *57*(1), 2505-2514.

Kim, B., Park, H., & Baek, Y. (2018). Not just fun, but serious strategies: Using meta-cognitive strategies in game-based learning. *Computers & Education*, 62, 25-38.

Li, M., Ma, S., & Shi, Y. (2023). Examining the effectiveness of gamification as a tool promoting teaching and learning in educational settings: a meta-analysis. *Front. Psychol*. 14:1253549.

Lopes, A., et al. (2019). In-situ validation of an innovative mono-cell pressuremeter probe: first results. *Proceedings of the XVII ECSMGE-2019.*

Luo, L. (2021). Architectures of neuronal circuits. *Science, 373*(6558).

Mekler, E. D., et al. (2017). Towards understanding the effects of individual gamification elements on intrinsic motivation and performance. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 71, 525-534.

Neelakandan, N. (December 7, 2023). *Unlocking Knowledge: The Power of Gamification In Education.* https://elearningindustry.com/unlocking-knowledge-the-power-of-gamification-in-education.

Ofosu-Ampong, K. (2020). The shift to gamification in education: A review on dominant issues. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49*(1), 113-137.

Oliveira dos Santos, W., Bittencourt, I. I., Dermeval, D., Isotani, S., Marques, L. B., & Silveira, I. F. (2018). Flow theory to promote learning in educational systems: Is it really relevant? *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 1*(5), 63-773.

Oliveira, W., Hamari, J., Shi, L., Toda, A. M., Rodrigues, L., Palomino, P. T., Isotani, S. (2023). Tailored gamification in education: A literature review and future agenda. *Educ Inf Technol* 28, 373–406.

Rosli, N. A., Ahmad, N., & Zainal, N. (2022). Gamification in computer science education: A systematic literature review. *Computers & Education*, 168, 104212.

Rosli, M. S., Saleh, N. S., Md. Ali, A., & Abu Bakar, S. (2022). Self-determination theory and online learning in university: Advancements, future direction and research gaps. *Sustainability*, 14, 146557.

Rosli, R., Mokhsein, S. E., & Suppian, Z. (2022). Classroom Assessment Practices in Malaysian Primary Schools: A Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development, 11*(1), 97–111.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 68–78.

Ryan, R.M., Rigby, C.S., & Przybylski, A. (2006). The motivational pull of video games: A self-determination theory approach. *Motivation and Emotion, 30*(4), 347-3635.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Definitions, theory, practices, and future directions. *Routledge*.

Sailer, M., & Homner, L. (2020). The gamification of learning: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review, 32*(1), 77–112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09498-w

Seaborn, K. & Fels, D. I. (2015). Gamification in theory and action: A survey. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 74, 14-31.

Smith, T., & Johnson, H. (2021). Bridging the gap: From theory to practice in computing education. *Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges, 36*(6), 124-131.

Videnovik, M., Vold, T., Kiønig, L., Bogdanova, A. M., Trajkovik, V. (2023). Game-based learning in computer science education: a scoping literature review. *International Journal of STEM Education, IJ STEM Ed 10*(54). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00447-2

Zainuddin, Z. (2018). Students' learning performance and perceived motivation in

gamified flipped-class instruction. *Computers & Education*, 126, 75-88.

Zhao, F., Mendez, R., Toubin, A., Mahan, B. (2021). Gamified Education in K-12. In: Fang, X. (eds) HCI in Games: Serious and Immersive Games. HCII 2021. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 12790. *Springer*, Cham.

Zhou, G. (2024). Navigating the future landscape of gamified education. SHS Web of Conferences, 187, 02005. https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202418702005